

# CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



*Published in Accordance with  
General Statutes Section 51-216a*

VOL. LXXIX No. 41

April 10, 2018

249 Pages

## Table of Contents

### CONNECTICUT REPORTS

<i>Amica Mutual Ins. Co. v. Muldowney</i> , 328 C 428 . . . . .	2
<i>Subrogation; breach of contract; negligence; whether plaintiff insurer had right of subrogation as to landlord's claims against defendant tenants; claim that lease was insufficient to overcome presumption against subrogation articulated in DiLullo v. Joseph (259 Conn. 847), which held that landlord's insurer does not have right of subrogation against tenants unless there is specific agreement otherwise in lease; whether allowing subrogation in present case was fair and consistent with doctrine of equitable subrogation.</i>	
<i>Brown v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)</i> , 328 C 919 . . . . .	25
<i>DeJesus v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)</i> , 328 C 918 . . . . .	24
<i>Forgione v. Forgione (Orders)</i> , 328 C 922 . . . . .	28
<i>Gamble v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)</i> , 328 C 921 . . . . .	27
<i>Gomez v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)</i> , 328 C 916 . . . . .	22
<i>Hazel v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)</i> , 328 C 918 . . . . .	24
<i>Isenburg v. Isenburg (Order)</i> , 328 C 916 . . . . .	22
<i>JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Davis (Order)</i> , 328 C 921 . . . . .	27
<i>JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Essaghof (Order)</i> , 328 C 915 . . . . .	21
<i>Megos v. Ranta (Order)</i> , 328 C 917 . . . . .	23
<i>Mundle v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)</i> , 328 C 920 . . . . .	26
<i>Pettiford v. State (Order)</i> , 328 C 919 . . . . .	25
<i>Pritsker v. Keating (Order)</i> , 328 C 915 . . . . .	21
<i>State v. Anthony L. (Order)</i> , 328 C 918 . . . . .	24
<i>State v. Blaine (Order)</i> , 328 C 917 . . . . .	23
<i>State v. Bobe (Order)</i> , 328 C 920 . . . . .	26
<i>State v. Manousos (Order)</i> , 328 C 919 . . . . .	25
<i>State v. Tucker (Order)</i> , 328 C 917 . . . . .	23
<i>Thomas v. Thomas (Order)</i> , 328 C 920 . . . . .	26
<i>U.S. Bank National Assn. v. Tope (Order)</i> , 328 C 921 . . . . .	27
<i>Wachovia Mortgage, FSB v. Toczek (Order)</i> , 328 C 914 . . . . .	20
<i>Forgione v. Forgione (Order) (replacement pages)</i> , 320 C 919–920 . . . . .	v
Volume 328 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . .	31

### CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

<i>Bayview Loan Servicing, LLC v. Park City Sports, LLC</i> , 180 CA 765 . . . . .	51A
<i>Foreclosure; summary judgment; claim that trial court lacked subject matter jurisdiction over action; whether plaintiff's alleged failure to comply with certain standing order of Superior Court implicated trial court's subject matter jurisdiction; claim that trial court erred in granting motion for summary judgment as to liability; whether defendants met their burden of demonstrating existence of genuine issue of material fact; whether any issue of fact regarding validity of plaintiff's original federal loss affidavit was immaterial; whether trial court properly concluded that defendants' special defenses were legally insufficient; reviewability of claim that trial court abused its discretion by denying individual defendant's petition to participate in foreclosure mediation program.</i>	

*(continued on next page)*

Blinkoff v. Dorman (Memorandum Decision), 180 CA 903. . . . .	155A
DeLeo v. Equale & Cirone, LLP, 180 CA 744. . . . .	30A
<i>Breach of fiduciary duty; claim that trial court committed plain error when it failed to order dissolution of partnership; reviewability of claim raised for first time on appeal; claim that trial court improperly estopped plaintiff from challenging noncompete provision in partnership agreement; whether trial court's finding that defendant did not waive enforcement of noncompete provision was clearly erroneous; whether trial court improperly concluded that noncompete clause in partnership agreement was enforceable; whether trial court improperly failed to consider reasonableness of restraint imposed by noncompete provision.</i>	
Fiano v. Old Saybrook Fire Co. No. 1, Inc., 180 CA 717 . . . . .	3A
<i>Negligence; claim that granting defendant fire company's motion to reargue or reconsider motion for summary judgment filed by fire company and defendant town was procedurally improper because fire company's motion was untimely and did not present new arguments; claim that once trial court decided to permit reargument, it should have held hearing prior to reconsidering earlier ruling and granting motion for summary judgment; claim that trial court erred by rendering summary judgment for defendant fire company and town on vicarious liability claims because there was genuine issue of material fact as to whether defendant employee volunteer firefighter was acting in course of employment or official duties at time of accident; whether defendant fire company exercised control over employee when that employee used his personal vehicle for personal matter because he used that same vehicle at other times, under supervision of fire company, in his capacity as volunteer firefighter.</i>	
Nationstar Mortgage, LLC v. Mollo, 180 CA 782. . . . .	68A
<i>Foreclosure; motion for summary judgment; whether judgment of strict foreclosure was improper because trial court lacked authority to render summary judgment as to liability on note and mortgage where plaintiff's motion for summary judgment did not address whether defendant's special defenses were legally insufficient; claim that trial court lacked authority, sua sponte, to render summary judgment as to liability with respect to factual or legal viability of special defenses because they were outside scope of motion for summary judgment; whether this court lacked jurisdiction to consider any claim challenging purported judgment on defendant's counterclaim when trial court did not render final judgment with respect to counterclaim.</i>	
Ruiz v. Victory Properties, LLC, 180 CA 818. . . . .	104A
<i>Negligence; summary judgment; motion to open; claim that trial court abused its discretion in denying motion to open summary judgment; whether four month limitation period contained in applicable statute (§ 52-212a) had been tolled by filing of plaintiffs' first appeal; whether automatic stay provision in relevant rule of practice (§ 61-11 [a]) operated to preserve entire procedural posture of case during pendency of prior appeal; whether limitation period in § 52-212a was tolled on equitable grounds.</i>	

(continued on next page)

## CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications  
Office of Production and Distribution  
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453  
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178  
www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*Published Weekly – Available at <http://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by  
ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*  
Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

Stamford v. Pepaj (Memorandum Decision), 180 CA 903 . . . . .	155A
State v. Bagnaschi, 180 CA 835 . . . . .	121A
<i>Breach of peace in second degree; whether evidence was sufficient to support conviction of breach of peace in second degree; claim that defendant lacked notice that certain events were part of factual basis of breach of peace charge; claim that trial court improperly considered that prosecution was based on defendant's conduct rather than on speech; failure of defendant to demonstrate prejudice as result of alleged material variance between state's pleadings and proof at trial; claim that trial court improperly denied motion for probable cause hearing; claim that warrantless arrest of defendant in her home was unlawful and, thus, subsequent prosecution and conviction violated defendant's constitutional rights; whether trial court violated defendant's constitutional right to present defense when it ruled that certain evidence was irrelevant and inadmissible; claim that trial court committed plain error when it failed to recuse itself; claim that trial court's preclusion of certain witnesses and evidence demonstrated bias against defendant that amounted to structural error and necessitated new trial.</i>	
State v. Davis, 180 CA 799 . . . . .	85A
<i>Sexual assault in second degree; delivery of alcohol to minor; whether evidence admitted at trial was sufficient to prove beyond reasonable doubt that victim was physically helpless as defined by statute (§ 53a-65 [6]) for conviction of sexual assault in second degree; whether trial court improperly denied motion to dismiss count of substitute information charging defendant with sexual assault in second degree; claim that timing of state's filing of substitute information violated defendant's substantive rights; claim that defendant was prevented from presenting defense as result of decision to charge him with sexual assault in first and second degree.</i>	
Volume 180 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . .	157A
State v. Frazier, 181 CA 1. . . . .	159A
<i>Operating motor vehicle while under influence of intoxicating liquor; whether evidence was sufficient to prove that defendant was intoxicated due to alcohol consumption; whether trial court abused its discretion by concluding that defense counsel opened door to admission of certain testimony; unpreserved claim that trial court infringed on defendant's right to testify; reviewability of claim that defendant was prematurely forced to make decision about whether to testify when court canvassed him prior to conclusion of state's case-in-chief.</i>	
Volume 181 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . .	205A

### NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

Board of Chiropractic Examiners, State . . . . .	1B
--	----